Introduction to the Journal

The Kurdistan Journal of Strategic Studies for Humanitarian Studies is a quarterly journal published in the Kurdish, Arabic, Persian and English languages, concerned with publishing the most important research and strategic studies in various fields of human sciences of originality, importance, effectiveness and influence in subspecialties while being keen to adhere to deadlines, facilitate scientific communication, and valuable topics and its high outstanding results; It keeps pace with current developments and provides everything that meets the aspirations of researchers in terms of scientific analyzes and interpretations inside and outside the region.

The journal has main objectives, the first: pioneering strategic research in Kurdistan, creating vast areas of scientific analysis of interest to academics and researchers in human studies, and supporting the public and private sectors in scientific research, as well as providing them with scientific knowledge, academic debate, enlightening thought, and constructive criticism, in all the favourable opportunities, in all fields of the human sciences, in politics, economics, management, sociology, psychology, media, women's rights, violence and terrorism, civil society, philosophy, history, geography, democracy, human rights, and others.

The second: Ensuring that knowledge is disseminated and that the results of research and studies reach the opinion of the general readers in the Kurdish and Arabic languages wherever they are in this world, in a modern way based on achieving what the scientific and research centres aspire to, a new in science, culture and civilized knowledge.

The journal accepts unreported and researched papers that have not been previously published in journals, publications and other websites, taking into account the conditions and regulations adopted by the KISSR.

The Kurdistan Journal of Strategic Studies is licensed by the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research in the Kurdistan Region, and recognized as a refereed academic scientific journal, according to the approval letter numbered (6497 on 8/9/2020) issued by the Directorate of Scientific Affairs / Department of Research and Development in the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research /Kurdistan Region .

First: Instructions for writing, presenting and publishing:

The article is submitted through the Kurdistan Institute for Strategic Studies and Scientific Research (KISSR) website, and the researcher must observe the conditions and instructions contained on the website in writing and submitting the article by electronic download, as follows:

1 - The number of article pages should not exceed (25) twenty-five (A4) pages.

2 - The article includes:

- Search title.

- Name of the researcher or researchers, (scientific department, college, university, country), and e-mail (email)
- Article Summary.

- Keywords.

- Article content.

- Results and conclusion of the article

- Summary of the article and keywords in two languages other than the article language at the end of the article, before the sources and references

- Sources and references.

3 - Article Writing Template:

(1)

A- The title of the article is at the top of the first page and in the middle in size (18 bold), volume (16) bold for subheadings, volume (14) for the body, and volume (10) for sources, annotations and explanations.

B- The name of the researcher or researchers (scientific department, college, university, country and electronic address) should be written in size (?) Under the main title (after leaving a 1 cm space) on the right side for the article in Arabic, and on the left side for the article in English.

C- Unikurd Goran and Unikurd Jino are used for an article in the Kurdish and Persian languages, for headings and subheadings.

D- For Arabic-language articles, the font (Ali-A-Alwand) is used for the body and the line (Ali-A-Samik) for the main and subheadings.

E- For article and abstracts in English, the font (Times New Roman) for the body, size (16 bold) for the main title, size (14) for the subheadings, volume (12) for the body, and volume (10) for the sources and margins.

F- The space between the paragraphs in the text is (1 cm).

G- Leave a gap (2 cm) on the four sides of each page.

(2) Following the Harvard system for writing sources and references, and references to them within the text.

(3) Writing a summary of the article within (250) two hundred and fifty words in one paragraph only, before the text, then leaving a space after it with a space of (1 cm) for the keywords, and the keywords consist of (5-7) single words.

(4) The article is presented in two different modes: In the first, the name of the author or authors and (scientific department, college, university, country, and e-address) are deleted when uploading the article to the electronic system, for the review process to run in secret, to maintain fair review. Away from favouritism and personal whims.
(5) After conducting the review of the article by the reviewers, and the result was acceptance of the article conditional on an amendment and correction, then the editorial board electronically re-searches the author via his e-mail (personal e-mail), and he has the notes and demands that the reviewer wrote, and he asks the author to correct the errors And satisfy the reviewer’s requests for clarification and amendment, then re-searches the journal electronically after making the necessary amendments and corrections for the article to acquire the degree of acceptance by the reviewer.

(6) Upon completion of the review of the article by the reviewers, the journal committee shall judge the overall results of the review (arbitration) as either the rejection or acceptance and at that time the author is informed of the acceptance or rejection of the article.

(7) When submitting the article via (electronic download on the journal's website), publishing costs amounting to (250) two hundred and fifty thousand dinars must be paid, according to the instructions of the Ministry of Finance for the Kurdistan Region, issue () in (/ / 2020), and the article does not take its course in evaluation process without it.

(8) Submission is made electronically, via the journal’s website, following the necessary stages for that.

Two: Article review

The review process takes place in three stages:

The first stage: Initial (Preliminary) review:

The article is a review by the journal's management body in a preliminary (preliminary) assessment, to find out the availability of the necessary conditions that qualify it for review, and it will be as follows:

- Review of the article language, and it may be sent to the competent linguist if necessary.

- Checking the writing style used in the journal.

Matching the general content of the article to the publishing instructions and the strategy of the Kurdistan Institute for Strategic Studies and Scientific Research (KISSR).

- When there is a deficiency or defect in the article, the article may be returned to the author to reform or organize it, or a final rejection may be rejected for its unfit for review.

- All articles go through a process (a plagiarism checker), and to achieve this, the author takes an electronic editorial undertaking in which he/she bears the validity of the article content and the information it contains.

The second stage: (scientific review)

After the article passes the preliminary review stage, the article is sent to two (two) reviewers from specialized academics, where the article is reviewed accurately, and when the article is rejected by one of them, the article is sent to a third reviewer, because the article is only accepted with the acceptance of two reviewers.
The third stage: (Approval of the evaluation)

The reviewer chooses one of three options:

1 - Rejection: The complete and final rejection of the article.

2 - Conditional Acceptance: It is accepted under the condition of reviewing the amendment, repair, and clarification, so the article is returned to the author to make the necessary amendments and others. It then finally submits to the reviewer himself for scrutiny and final judgment.

3 - Accepting the article as it is: The article may be alerted to minor amendments by the journal body itself, and the article is not subject to review and scrutiny.

i: Guidelines for evaluation

When evaluating, please pay attention to the following elements:

(1) It is important to think and conclude if research makes a valuable contribution to current knowledge and literature in terms of the development of theory, new data, new methodology, etc.

(2) Does the research be written and submitted following the magazine's instructions to the authors.

(3) Has the research been written and presented following the magazine's publishable criteria in terms of whether any concepts have been appropriately identified, whether the research is well organized with a coherent and well-integrated discussion, and the clarity of any statistical data, tables and charts, whether the title accurately reflects the contents of the search if any part of the research should be cut, restructured or developed further.

(4) Signal appropriateness in terms of giving adequate credit to other shareholders in the field, major omissions and whether the references are complete and properly written.

(5) The scientific assessment proposal for the course of work may be: accepting the research as submitted, repeating the evaluation process after the changes recommended by the evaluator, rejecting the research.

ii. Classification guidelines

(1) Original scientific research showing original research results that have not been fully or initially published in advance.

(2) The evaluation article contains a comprehensive review of recent and current research in a particular area.

Research in this category is questionnaires by their very nature that should contain references and critical assessments. References must be complete enough to allow for a good view of the subject.

(3) Professional research should not be based on original research but must contribute to the application of known research results and the introduction of theoretical concepts.

iii: Final Evaluation:

Please indicate the final decisions for your evaluation by completing the following Comment spaces in (A) and (B), and Tables (1), (2) and (3).
A. B. In the light of the determination of the evaluation and the final judgement of your choice, it is possible to put in place the justifications that led to that decision: (Your judgments to be written here)..........................
B: C. In the light of the determination of the evaluation and the final judgment you have chosen, recommendations for amendments by the researcher are to be made:
### Table (1) Procedural aspects of the research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspects</th>
<th>V.Good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Accepted</th>
<th>Weak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title of the article</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The purpose and purpose of the research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its importance and merit in studying</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its scientific value:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit the final results with the search goal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And his scientific hypotheses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content of the research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedural aspects of the research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table (2) Final assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation rate</th>
<th>Evaluation Notes</th>
<th>Accepting or rejecting tick</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title of the article</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>does not need to be adjusted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>but needs minor adjustments before publication.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>is not suitable for publication until the recommended adjustments have been made.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>is not suitable for publication in a scientific journal that is strict at all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (3) Personal information of the reviewer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile No.</td>
<td>e-mail (Official)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The signature hereby states that the review submitted is conducted objectively and carefully and is based on professional, scientific and ethical standards.  

Signature